

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Reinhard Keller

Application No.: 10/575,544

Confirmation No.: 5662

Filed: May 18, 2006

Art Unit: 2121

For: CONTROL FOR A MACHINE FOR THE
MANUFACTURE OF PAPER PADDING

Examiner: Tejal Gami

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is Applicant's interview summary with respect to the interview conducted on December 21, 2009.

The interview was telephonic and no exhibits were shown.

At the interview, all prior art of record was discussed. Additionally, Applicant emphasized that the prior art did not disclose a button or input means which was held down to both initiate and continue the activation of the padding machine and which terminated the production of the padding once released. Likewise, Applicant discussed that the length of padding produced is the same when that button is thereafter momentarily depressed.

Only Applicant's attorney, Douglas W. Sprinkle, appeared on behalf of the Applicant at the aforementioned interview.

No agreement was reached. Additionally, Applicant agreed to submit a formal amendment again setting forth these arguments.

Dated: January 13, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic Signature: /Douglas W. Sprinkle/

Douglas W. Sprinkle

Registration No.: 27,394

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON
& CITKOWSKI, P.C.

2701 Troy Center Drive, Suite 330

Post Office Box 7021

Troy, Michigan 48007-7021

(248) 647-6000

(248) 647-5210 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant